arXiv:1206.3947v1 [math.RT] 18 Jun 2012

THE GEOMETRIC MEANING OF ZHELOBENKO OPERATORS

A. SEVOSTYANOV

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complex semisimple Lie algebra associated to a complex semisimple algebraic group G, $\mathfrak{b}$ a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ the Cartan sublagebra and $N \subset G$ the unipotent subgroup corresponding to the nilradical $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. We show that the explicit formula for the extremal projection operator for $\mathfrak{g}$ obtained by Asherova, Smirnov and Tolstoy and similar formulas for Zhelobenko operators are related to the existence of a birational equivalence $N \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{b}$ given by the restriction of the adjoint action. Simple geometric proofs of formulas for the "classical" counterparts of the extremal projection operator and of Zhelobenko operators are also obtained.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ its maximal nilpotent subalgebra. Extremal projection operators are projection operators onto the subspaces of $\mathfrak{n}$–invariants in certain $\mathfrak{g}$–modules the action of $\mathfrak{n}$ on which is locally nilpotent. First example of such operators for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ was explicitly constructed in [8]. In papers [1, 2, 3] the results of [8] were generalized to the case of arbitrary complex semisimple Lie algebras, and explicit formulas for extremal projection operators were obtained. Later, using a certain completion of an extension of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, Zhelobenko observed in [10] that the existence of extremal projection operators is an almost trivial fact. In [10] he also introduced a family of operators which are analogues to extremal projection operators. These operators are called now Zhelobenko operators.

Extremal projection operators and Zhelobenko operators appear in various contexts. Beside of the theory of $\mathfrak{g}$–modules one should mention the theory of crystal bases where similar operators are known under the name of Kashiwara operators (see [5, 6]), Mickelsson algebras (see [10]) and dynamical Weyl group elements of which are examples of Zhelobenko operatots (see [4]). An extended review of applications of extremal projection operators and of Zhelobenko operators can be found in [9], and book [11] contains an excellent detailed exposition of their applications. A more recent application to the structure theory of complex semisimple Lie algebras can be found in [7].

Despite of the fact that the explicit formula for extremal projection operators was obtained in [1] more that forty years ago and Zhelobenko operators are also defined by explicit formulas it seems that the meaning of those formulas is still not clear. Actually the proof of the explicit formula for extremal projection operators given in [3] is reduced to case by case analysis for Lie algebras of rank 2, and the remarkable properties of Zhelobenko operators are derived in [12] by applying a series of complicated technical arguments which are not transparent.

The aim of this short note is to fill in this gap. Following Zhelobenko, we consider the extremal projection operator for a universal Verma module and its classical analogue, the space of functions on the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ containing $\mathfrak{n}$. The point is that the natural classical analogue of the extremal projection operator is a projection operator onto the subspace of $\mathfrak{n}$–invariant functions on $\mathfrak{b}$, where the action is induced by the action of $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathfrak{b}$ by commutators. A formula for that operator, which is similar to the formula derived in [3], can be easily obtained by elementary geometric methods. Zhelobenko operators can be treated in a similar way. Moreover, classical analogues of extremal projection operators are particular examples of the following general construction.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebra, extremal projection operator, Zhelobenko operators.

Let G be a Lie group (algebraic group), and M a manifold (algebraic variety) equipped with a Lie group (regular) action of G. Assume that there exists a cross–section $X \subset M$ for this action, and $M = G \times X$ as as a G–manifold (variety), so that the map

$$G \times X \to M,\ (g, x) \mapsto gx,\ g \in G, x \in X \tag{1}$$

is an isomorphism (an isomorphism of manifolds, an isomorphism of varieties or a birational equivalence).

Denote by $F(M)$ the space of smooth (regular or rational) functions on M. G naturally acts on $F(M)$. Let $F(M)^G$ be the subspace of G–invariant elements of $F(M)$. Define the projection operator $\overline{P} : F(M) \to F(M)^G$ as follows

$$(\overline{P}f)(gx) = f(x) = f(g^{-1}gx) = (gf)(gx),\ g \in G, x \in X. \tag{2}$$

If for $y \in M$ we denote by $g(y) \in G$ the unique element such that $y = g(y)x(y)$ for a unique $x(y) \in X$ then

$$(\overline{P}f)(y) = (g(y)f)(y). \tag{3}$$

We call the operator $\overline{P}$ the projection operator corresponding to isomorphism (1).

If every element of G can be uniquely represented as a product of elements from subgroups $G_1, \ldots, G_N$, i.e. $G = G_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot G_N$, then the operator P can be expressed as a composition of operators $\overline{P}_i$,

$$(\overline{P}_i f)(y) = (g_i(y)f)(y),\ g(y) = g_1(y) \ldots g_N(y),\ g_i(y) \in G_i, \tag{4}$$

$$(\overline{P}f)(y) = (\overline{P}_1 \ldots \overline{P}_N f)(y). \tag{5}$$

Now let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complex semisimple Lie algebra associated to a complex semisimple algebraic group G, $\mathfrak{b}$ a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ the Cartan sublagebra and $N \subset G$ the unipotent subgroup corresponding to the nilradical $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Let Δ be the set of roots associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, Δ_+ the set of positive roots associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$, and $\mathfrak{h}' = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} : \alpha(h) \neq 0\ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$ the regular part of $\mathfrak{h}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{b}' \subset \mathfrak{b}$ the open (in the Zariski topology) subset $\mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{h}' + \mathfrak{n}$.

Consider the action of N on $\mathfrak{b}$ induced by the adjoint action. Obviously this action induces an action of N on $\mathfrak{b}'$. We claim that the action map

$$N \times \mathfrak{h}' \to \mathfrak{b}' \tag{6}$$

is an isomorphism of manifolds, and hence this map gives rise to a birational equivalence

$$N \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{b}. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, fix a normal ordering $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N$ of Δ_+, i.e. an ordering of Δ_+ in which all simple roots are placed in an arbitrary way and if $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_+$ then γ is situated between α and β. Let e_α, $\alpha \in \Delta$ be root vectors in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ are coroots normalized in such a way that $[h_\alpha, e_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2e_\alpha$, $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.

Every element $y \in \mathfrak{b}'$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$y = h + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} c_\alpha e_\alpha,\ h \in \mathfrak{h}', c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{8}$$

Now recall that $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the root subspace corresponding to the root $\alpha + \beta$. From the definition of the normal ordering of Δ_+ it also follows that if for $\alpha \in \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N\}$ we have $\beta_N + \alpha \in \Delta$ then $\beta_N + \alpha \in \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{N-1}\}$. Therefore using the commutation relation $\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)}[e_{\beta_N}, h] = -c_{\beta_N} e_{\beta_N}$ and the formula $\mathrm{Ad} e^x = e^{\mathrm{ad}\ x}$ one obtains

$$y^1 = \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} y = h + \sum_{\alpha < \beta_N} c^1_\alpha e_\alpha,\ h \in \mathfrak{h}', c^1_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We can proceed in the same way to obtain

$$y^2 = \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c^1_{\beta_{N-1}}}{\beta_{N-1}(h)} e_{\beta_{N-1}}} y^1 = h + \sum_{\alpha < \beta_{N-1}} c^2_\alpha e_\alpha,\ h \in \mathfrak{h}', c^2_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now a simple induction gives the following representation for $y \in \mathfrak{b}'$,

$$\mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c^{N-1}_{\beta_1}}{\beta_1(h)} e_{\beta_1}} \ldots \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c^1_{\beta_{N-1}}}{\beta_{N-1}(h)} e_{\beta_{N-1}}} \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} y = h,$$

or

$$\mathrm{Ad} e^{-\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} \mathrm{Ad} e^{-\frac{c^1_{\beta_{N-1}}}{\beta_{N-1}(h)} e_{\beta_{N-1}}} \ldots \mathrm{Ad} e^{-\frac{c^{N-1}_{\beta_1}}{\beta_1(h)} e_{\beta_1}} h = y, \tag{9}$$

where the coefficients $c^{N-i}_{\beta_i}$ are uniquely defined by induction from the relations

$$\mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c^{i-1}_{\beta_{N-i+1}}}{\beta_{N-i+1}(h)} e_{\beta_{N-i+1}}} \ldots \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} y = h + \sum_{\alpha < \beta_{N-i+1}} c^i_\alpha e_\alpha. \tag{10}$$

This establishes isomorphism (6).

Now following (4) we define operators $P_\alpha : F(\mathfrak{b}') \to F(\mathfrak{b}')$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ as follows

$$(P_\alpha f)(y) = f(\mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_\alpha}{\alpha(h)} e_\alpha} y) = (e^{-\frac{c_\alpha}{\alpha(h)} e_\alpha} f)(y), \tag{11}$$

where y is given by (8).

Introducing functions $\widehat{e}_{-\alpha}$ and $\widehat{h}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ on $\mathfrak{b}'$ by

$$\widehat{e}_{-\alpha}(y) = c_\alpha,\ \widehat{h}_\alpha(y) = \alpha(h)$$

one can also rewrite formula (11) as follows

$$(P_\alpha f)(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \widehat{h}_\alpha^{-n}(y) \widehat{e}^{\,n}_{-\alpha}(y) (e^n_\alpha f)(y), \tag{12}$$

where $e^n_\alpha f$ stands for the Lie algebra action of the root vector e_α induced by the Lie group action of N on $\mathfrak{b}'$.

According to (5) the projection operator $P : F(\mathfrak{b}') \to F(\mathfrak{b}')^N$ corresponding to isomorphism (6) can be represented in the form

$$P = P_{\beta_N} \ldots P_{\beta_1}. \tag{13}$$

By $F(\mathfrak{b}')$ we can understand the algebra of C^∞–functions on $\mathfrak{b}'$ or, recalling that (7) is a birational equivalence, the algebra of rational functions on $\mathfrak{b}$. Moreover, looking at formula (12) one can immediately deduce that P is in fact defined in a smaller algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$, the localization of the algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]$ on $\mathfrak{b}$ on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ on $\mathfrak{h}$.

One can also rewrite formula (12) in terms of the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Indeed, one can identify $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ using the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and equip the algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ with the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket. This bracket naturally extends to the localization $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$.

Now introduce functions $\widehat{h}_\alpha$, $\widehat{e}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\widehat{e}_\alpha(y) = c_{-\alpha}$, $\widehat{h}_\alpha(y) = \alpha(h)$ where $y = h + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_\alpha e_\alpha,\ h \in \mathfrak{h}, c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that the Killing form is normalized in such a way that $\{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \widehat{e}_{-\alpha}\} = \widehat{h}_\alpha$, $\{\widehat{h}_\alpha, \widehat{e}_{\pm\alpha}\} = \pm 2\widehat{e}_{\pm\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.

Note that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$ can be naturally identified with the quotient $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'/I$, where I is the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$ generated by $\widehat{e}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.

The action of N on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by the adjoint action of G becomes Hamiltonian with respect to the Kirillov–Kostant Poisson structure, and for any function φ on $\mathfrak{g}$ we have $e_\alpha\varphi = \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \varphi\}$. Using this observation formula (12) can be rewritten in the form

$$(P_\alpha f)(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \widehat{h}_\alpha^{-n}(y) \widehat{e}_{-\alpha}^n(y) \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \widetilde{f}\}^n(y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ I), \tag{14}$$

where $\widetilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$ is any representative of $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'/I$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$, and

$$\{e_\alpha \widetilde{f}\}^n = \underbrace{\{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \ldots, \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \widetilde{f}\} \ldots\}\}}_{\text{n times}}.$$

Note that the ideal I is invariant under taking Poisson brackets with functions $\widehat{e}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, and hence the right hand side of (14) does not depend on the choice of the representative $\widetilde{f}$.

We can summarize the above discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a complex semisimple algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ the Borel subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ the nilradical of $\mathfrak{b}$, and N the maximal unipotent subgroup $N \subset G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the localization of the algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]$ on $\mathfrak{b}$ on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ on $\mathfrak{h}$.*

Let $N \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{b}$ be the action of N on $\mathfrak{b}$ induced by the adjoint action of G. Then the map

$$N \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{b}$$

is a birational equivalence, and the corresponding projection operator $P : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'^N$ can be written in the form

$$P = P_{\beta_N} \ldots P_{\beta_1},$$

where $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N$ is a normal ordering of the system of positive roots Δ_+ of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$, and for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ the operators P_α are given by formulas (12) or (14).

The kernel of the projection operator P is the ideal in the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$ generated by the elements $\widehat{e}_{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.

One can also consider partial projection operators $P_{\geq k} = P_{\beta_N} \ldots P_{\beta_k}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$. Arguments similar to those given above show that the image of $P_{\geq k}$ consists of elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$ which are invariant with respect to the action of the subgroup $N_{\geq k} \subset N$ the Lie algebra of which is generated by the root vectors e_α, $\alpha \geq \beta_k$ with respect to the fixed normal ordering of Δ_+,

$$P_{\geq k} : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'^{N_{\geq k}}. \tag{15}$$

Now we compare the operator P with extremal projection operators. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $U(\mathfrak{g})' = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h})} D(\mathfrak{h})$ the localization of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on the field of fractions $D(\mathfrak{h})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{h})$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. Consider the left $\mathfrak{g}$–module $V = U(\mathfrak{g})'/U(\mathfrak{g})'\mathfrak{n}$. V is a "noncommutative" analogue of the space $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$. Since the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ on V is locally nilpotent the operators

$$p_\alpha(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} f_{\alpha,n}^{-1}(t) e_{-\alpha}^n e_\alpha^n, \ f_{\alpha,n}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (h_\alpha + t - j), \ t \in \mathbb{C}, \ \alpha \in \Delta_+ \tag{16}$$

are well defined on V.

Proposition 2. **([3], Main Theorem)** *The operator*

$$p = p_{\beta_N}(\rho(h_{\beta_N})) \ldots p_{\beta_1}(\rho(h_{\beta_1})),$$

where $\rho=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\alpha$*, is the projection operator onto the subspace*

$$V^{\mathfrak{n}}=\{v\in V:\ xv=0\ \forall\ x\in\mathfrak{n}\}$$

with the kernel $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}V$*.*

The operator p is called the extremal projection operator for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

The operators $p_\alpha(t)$ can also be rewritten in terms of iterated commutators as follows

$$p_\alpha(t)v=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}f_{\alpha,n}^{-1}(t)e_{-\alpha}^n(\mathrm{ad}\ e_\alpha)^n(\widetilde{v})v_0, \tag{17}$$

where $\widetilde{v}$ is any representative of v in $U(\mathfrak{g})'$, and v_0 is the image of $1\in U(\mathfrak{g})'$ under the natural projection $U(\mathfrak{g})'\to V=U(\mathfrak{g})'/U(\mathfrak{g})'\mathfrak{n}$.

Formulas (16) and (17) are natural analogues of (12) and (14) for the module V, and the projection operator p is a counterpart of P for V. Note that $U(\mathfrak{g})'$ can be obtained by quantizing the Kirillov–Kostant Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$, and hence Proposition 2 can be regarded as a quantum version of Proposition 1. Note that after quantization the functions $\widehat{e}_\alpha$, $\widehat{h}_\alpha$ become the root vectors e_α and the Cartan subalgebra generators h_α.

In [10] Zhelobenko also considered the following formal series

$$q'_\alpha(\widetilde{x})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}e_{-\alpha}^n(\mathrm{ad}\ e_\alpha)^n(x)e_{-\alpha}^n g_{\alpha,n}^{-1},\ g_{\alpha,n}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(h_\alpha+1-j),\ \alpha\in\Delta_+,\ \widetilde{x}\in U(\mathfrak{g})'. \tag{18}$$

Let W be the Weyl group of the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$. Denote by s_α the reflection with respect to the root $\alpha\in\Delta$. One can consider the formal compositions

$$q'_w(\widetilde{x})=q'_{\beta_N}(\ldots(q'_{\beta_k}(\widetilde{x}))\ldots),\ \widetilde{x}\in U(\mathfrak{g})', \tag{19}$$

where $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_N$ is a normal ordering of Δ_+, and

$$w=s_{\beta_k}\ldots s_{\beta_N}. \tag{20}$$

Note that according to the results of §3 in [12] every element $w\in W$ can be represented in form (20), and $\Delta_w=\{\alpha\in\Delta_+:\ w^{-1}\alpha<0\}=\{\beta_k,\ldots,\beta_N\}$.

For $w\in W$ we shall also consider the $U(\mathfrak{g})'$–modules $V_w=U(\mathfrak{g})'/U(\mathfrak{g})'\mathfrak{n}^w$, where $\mathfrak{n}^w$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the root vectors $e_{w\alpha}$, $\alpha\in\Delta_+$.

Proposition 3. **([12], Proposition 1, Theorem 2)** *For any element* $w\in W$ *the composition defined by the right hand side of formula (19) is well defined as an operator*

$$q_w:V_w\to V,\ x\mapsto q'_w(\widetilde{x})\ (\mathrm{mod}\ U(\mathfrak{g})'\mathfrak{n}),$$

where $\widetilde{x}$ *is is a representative in* $U(\mathfrak{g})'$ *of the element* $x\in V_w=U(\mathfrak{g})'/U(\mathfrak{g})'\mathfrak{n}^w$*. The operator* q_w *does not depend on representation (20) of the element* $w\in W$*.*

The image of q_w *coincides with the subspace*

$$V^w=\{v\in V:\ e_\alpha v=0\ \forall\ e_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\Delta_w\},$$

and the kernel of q_w *is the subspace* $\mathfrak{n}_wV_w$*, where* $\mathfrak{n}_w$ *is the Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *generated by the root vectors* e_α*,* $\alpha\in\Delta_w$*.*

Operators q_w are called Zhelobenko operators.

Now similarly to the case of extremal projection operators we define classical analogues of operators q_w. First, the classical analogue of the module V_w is $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]}\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$, the localization of the algebra of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]$ on the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^w$ generated by e_α, $\alpha\in w\Delta_+$ and by $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'/I^w$, where I^w is the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$ generated by the functions $\widehat{e}_{w\alpha}$, $\alpha\in\Delta_+$.

We claim that for $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'$ the function $Q_w f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$ given by

$$(Q_w f)(y) = f(\mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_k}^{N-k}}{\beta_k(h)} e_{\beta_k}} \ldots \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} y), \; y \in \mathfrak{b}', \tag{21}$$

where the coefficients $c_{\beta_i}^{N-i}$, $i = k, \ldots, N$, $c_{\beta_N}^0 = c_{\beta_N}$ are defined as in (10), is a well–defined $\mathfrak{n}_w$–invariant function on $\mathfrak{b}'$, where the action of $\mathfrak{n}_w$ on $\mathfrak{b}'$ is given by the restriction of the adjoint action.

Indeed, by (10) for any $y \in \mathfrak{b}'$ of form (8) one can uniquely find coefficients $c_{\beta_i}^{N-i}$, $i = k, \ldots, N$, $c_{\beta_N}^0 = c_{\beta_N}$ such that

$$y^{N-k+1} = \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_k}^{N-k}}{\beta_k(h)} e_{\beta_k}} \ldots \mathrm{Ad} e^{\frac{c_{\beta_N}}{\beta_N(h)} e_{\beta_N}} y = h + \sum_{\alpha < \beta_k} c_\alpha^{N-k+1} e_\alpha.$$

This gives a birational equivalence

$$N_w \times (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w) \to \mathfrak{b}, \tag{22}$$

where N_w is the subgroup in G corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_w \subset \mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{n}_1^w \subset \mathfrak{b}$ is the Lie subalgebra generated by e_α, $0 < \alpha < \beta_k$.

Now observe that $w(\Delta_+) = w(\Delta_{w^{-1}}) \cup w(\Delta_+ \setminus \Delta_{w^{-1}})$, and by the definition of the sets Δ_w $w(\Delta_+ \setminus \Delta_{w^{-1}}) \subset \Delta_+$. Moreover, by the results of §3 in [12] $w(\Delta_{w^{-1}}) = -\Delta_w$. Observing that the length of w in W is equal to that of w^{-1} we deduce that card $\Delta_w =$ card $\Delta_{w^{-1}}$. We also obviously have $w^{-1}w(\Delta_+) = \Delta_+$, and hence $w(\Delta_+) \cap \Delta_w = \{\emptyset\}$, and $w(\Delta_+ \setminus \Delta_{w^{-1}}) = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}\}$. Therefore the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^w$ is generated by $\mathfrak{h}$, by $e_{\beta_1}, \ldots, e_{\beta_{k-1}}$, and by e_α, $\alpha \in -\Delta_w$. We denote the Lie subalgebra generated by e_α, $\alpha \in -\Delta_w$ by $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_w$. This description of $\mathfrak{b}^w$ implies that

$$\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w \subset \mathfrak{b}^w. \tag{23}$$

Since $y^{N-k+1} \in \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w$ the right hand side of (21) is well defined as an element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'$. Equivalence (22) also implies that $Q_w f$ is N_w–invariant. Finally observe that $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{b}^w = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w$ and that $\mathfrak{b}^w = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w + \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_w$ (direct sum of vector spaces), and hence the image of the map Q_w coincides with $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'^{N_w}$, and the kernel of Q_w is generated by the elements $\widehat{e}_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'$, $\alpha \in \Delta_w$.

Similarly to the case of extremal projection operators one can obtain for the operators Q_w a multiplicative formula analogous to (19),

$$Q_w f = Q_{\beta_N}(\ldots(Q_{\beta_k}(f))\ldots), \; f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]' \; (\mathrm{mod}\; I), \tag{24}$$

and operators Q_α are given by a formula similar to (14),

$$Q_\alpha f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \widehat{h}_\alpha^{-n} \widehat{e}_{-\alpha}^n \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \widetilde{f}\}^n, \tag{25}$$

where $\widetilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$ is any representative of $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'/I^w$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]'$, and

$$\{e_\alpha \widetilde{f}\}^n = \underbrace{\{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \ldots, \{\widehat{e}_\alpha, \widetilde{f}\} \ldots\}\}}_{\text{n times}}.$$

We can summarize the results obtained above in the following proposition which is a classical analogue of Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. *Let G be a complex semisimple algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ the Borel subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ the nilradical of $\mathfrak{b}$, and N the maximal unipotent subgroup $N \subset G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. Denote by W the Weyl group of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Let $w \in W$ be an element of the Weyl group W, and $\Delta_w = \{\alpha \in \Delta_+ : \; w^{-1}\alpha < 0\}$,* *where* Δ_+ *is the system of positive roots of the pair* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$. *Denote by* $\mathfrak{n}_w$ *the Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *generated by the root vectors* e_α, $\alpha \in \Delta_w$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ *be the localization of the algebra of regular functions* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]$ *on* $\mathfrak{b}$ *on the field of rational functions* $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ *on* $\mathfrak{h}$, *and* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]' = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ *the localization of the algebra of regular functions* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]$ *on the Borel subalgebra* $\mathfrak{b}^w$ *generated by* e_α, $\alpha \in w\Delta_+$ *and by* $\mathfrak{h}$.

Then the adjoint action map induces a birational equivalence,

$$N_w \times (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_1^w) \to \mathfrak{b}, \tag{26}$$

where N_w *is the subgroup in* G *corresponding to the Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{n}_w \subset \mathfrak{g}$, *and* $\mathfrak{n}_1^w \subset \mathfrak{b}$ *is the Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *generated by* e_α, $\alpha \in w(\Delta_+ \setminus \Delta_{w^{-1}}) \subset \Delta_+$.

Formula (21) defines a surjective linear operator $Q_w : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]' \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]'^{N_w}$ *the kernel of which is generated by the elements* $\widehat{e}_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}^w]'$, $\alpha \in \Delta_w$.

The operator Q_w *can be expressed by multiplicative formula (24).*

References

[1] Asherova, R. M., Smirnov, Yu. F., Tolstoy, V.N., Projection operators for the simple Lie groups, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **8**(1971), 813-825.

[2] Asherova, R. M., Smirnov, Yu. F., Tolstoy, V.N., Projection operators for the simple Lie groups. II. General scheme for construction of lowering operators. The case of the group SU(n), *Theor. Math. Phys.* **15** (1973), 392-393.

[3] Asherova, R. M., Smirnov, Yu. F., Tolstoy, V.N., A description of some class of projection operators for semisimple complex Lie algebras, *Matem. Zametki* **26** (1979), 499-504.

[4] Etingof, P., Varchenko, A., Dynamical Weyl groups and applications, *Adv. Math.* **167** (2002), 74-127.

[5] Kashiwara, M., Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990), 249-260.

[6] Kashiwara M., On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math.J.* **63** (1991), 465-516.

[7] Khoroshkin, S., Nazarov, M., Vinberg, E., A generalized Harish-Chandra isomorphism, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 1168-1180.

[8] Löwdin, P.-O., Angular momentum wave functions constructed by projector operators *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36** (1964), 966-976.

[9] Tolstoy, V. N., Fortieth anniversary of extremal projector method for Lie symmetries. Noncommutative geometry and representation theory in mathematical physics, in: *Contemp. Math.* **391**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2005), 371–384.

[10] Zhelobenko, D. P., S-algebras and Verma modules over reductive Lie algebras, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **273** (1983), 785-788.

[11] Zhelobenko, D. P., Representations of reductive Lie algebras, Nauka, Moscow (1994).

[12] Zhelobenko, D. P., Extremal cocycles on Weyl groups, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **21** (1987), 11-21.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, United Kingdom, e-mail: seva@maths.abdn.ac.uk